

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	:	Master File No. 12-md-02311
	:	Judge Marianne O. Battani
	:	
	:	
IN RE OCCUPANT SAFETY RESTRAINT SYSTEMS	:	Case No. 2:12-cv-00603
	:	
	:	
THIS RELATES TO: END-PAYOR ACTION	:	
	:	

**ORDER GRANTING END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION  
FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT  
WITH TRUSTEE OF THE REORGANIZED TK HOLDINGS TRUST**

Upon consideration of End-Payor Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Approval of Proposed Settlement with Joseph J. Farnan, Jr., solely as Trustee (“Trustee”) of the Reorganized TK Holdings Trust, and Provisional Certification of Settlement Class (“Motion”), it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The Motion is hereby **GRANTED**.
2. Unless otherwise set forth herein, defined terms in this Order shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Settlement Agreement.

Preliminary Approval of Settlement Agreement

3. The Court has analyzed the factors set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 23(e)(2) as recently amended. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are hereby preliminarily approved, including the release contained therein, as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, subject to a Fairness Hearing.

4. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into at arm's length by experienced counsel and is sufficiently within the range of reasonableness that notice of the Settlement Agreement should be given, pursuant to a plan to be submitted by Settlement Class Counsel and approved by the Court at a later date as provided in this Order.

Class Certification

5. Pursuant to Rule 23, and in light of the proposed settlement, the Court hereby finds that the prerequisites for a class action have been met and provisionally certifies the following class for settlement purposes ("Settlement Class"):

All persons and entities that, from January 1, 2003 through the Execution Date, purchased or leased a new Vehicle in the United States not for resale, which included one or more Occupant Safety Restraint Systems as a component part, or indirectly purchased one or more Occupant Safety Restraint Systems as a replacement part, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary of a Defendant, or any co-conspirator of a Defendant. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, any co-conspirators, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities, and persons who purchased Occupant Safety Restraint Systems directly or for resale.

Settlement Agreement ¶ 11.

6. The Court finds that provisional certification of the Settlement Class is warranted in light of the Settlement Agreement because: (a) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder is impracticable; (b) End-Payor Plaintiffs' claims present common issues and are typical of the Settlement Class; (c) End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel (defined below) will fairly and adequately represent the Settlement Class; and (d) common issues predominate over any individual issues affecting the members of the Settlement Class. The Court further finds that End-Payor Plaintiffs' interests are aligned with the interests of all other members

of the Settlement Class. The Court also finds that settlement of the above-captioned action (“Action”) on a class basis is superior to other means of resolving the matter.

Appointment of Settlement Class Counsel

7. The Court hereby appoints Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P. as Settlement Class Counsel, having determined that the requirements of Rule 23(g) are fully satisfied by this appointment.

8. Each End-Payor Plaintiff class representative named in the operative complaint in the Action will serve as an End-Payor Plaintiff class representative on behalf of the Settlement Class.

Notice to Potential Class Members

9. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, Settlement Class Counsel shall provide notice of the Settlement Agreement and the Fairness Hearing to all persons affected by and/or entitled to participate in the Settlement Agreement in compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 and due process of law. Such means of providing notice will be addressed in a subsequent order following submission by End-Payor Plaintiffs at a later date of a proposal for notice to the Settlement Class and related forms for notice, claims and distribution (“Notice Motion”).

10. The Notice Motion shall include a proposed form of, method for, and date of dissemination of notice and the date on which the notice is mailed shall be the “Notice Date.”

Other Provisions

11. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated in accordance with its provisions, the Settlement Agreement and all proceedings had in connection therewith shall be null and void, except insofar as expressly provided to the contrary in the Settlement Agreement,

and without prejudice to the status quo and rights of End-Payor Plaintiffs, the Trustee, and the members of the Settlement Class.

12. The Court's provisional certification of the Settlement Class as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant to contest certification of any other class proposed in *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*. The Court's findings in this Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the Action or in *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, or on the Court's ruling(s) concerning any Defendant's motion, and no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant's motion.

Date: March 7, 2019

s/Marianne O. Battani  
MARIANNE O. BATTANI  
United States District Judge

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing Order was served upon counsel of record via the Court's ECF System to their respective email addresses or First Class U.S. mail to the non-ECF participants on March 7, 2019.

s/ Kay Doaks  
Case Manager